

Aerosol complexity and implications for predictability and short-term forecasting


Peter Colarco

NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, USA

with contributions from: Arlindo da Silva, Saulo Freitas, Susanne Bauer, William Putman




Global 1.5-km Aerosol Simulation





Global 1.5-km aerosol simulation produced with GEOS-5 Earth system model, focused on North African dust, running on approximately 30,000 cores at NASA GSFC NCCS, W. Putman (GMAO)

Aerosols Seen From Space




Aerosols and Climate Prediction



IPCC AR5 Technical Summary, 2013

- Direct and indirect effects of anthropogenic aerosols remains the leading uncertainty in overall anthropogenic forcing of climate
- The reason is that there are fundamental uncertainties in important aerosol parameters: propagating realistic uncertainties in aerosol parameters through radiative transfer suggests IPCC estimates of uncertainty are too small
- Aerosol schemes used in near-real time forecasting models have typically come from the climate modeling community, which has different needs and has favored more complex schemes





Loeb and Su, *J. Clim.*, 2010


Near-Real Time Aerosol

Forecasting

ICAP Multi-Model Ensemble of AOT (left) and Individual Members AOT > 0.8 (right, n=4): valid 12z8Sept2016



NASA/GMAO – GEOS-5 Forecast Initialized on 00z 2016-09-05
Total Aerosol Optical Thickness



- Modeling centers are increasingly recognizing the needs for near-real time aerosol prediction, with applications to: air quality, hazards, visibility, scientific mission flight planning, satellite retrieval *a priori*, ...
- ICAP is the International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction, a grassroots group of forecasting centers and data providers communicating common issues and best practices
- The needs for aerosol prediction at NWP centers has been driven to date by practical application rather than on the NWP impacts themselves

Outline

- **What are aerosols, and why are they important?**

Aerosols are important for climate, weather prediction, and human applications, but are a very complex and under-observed system


- **How do aerosols affect weather and climate?**

Aerosols affect weather and climate through their radiative forcing (direct effect) and their interactions with clouds (indirect effect)


- **How do you incorporate aerosol complexity into weather and climate models?**

Complexity can mean different things: including direct and indirect effects (or not), impacts of aerosols on meteorological assimilation, more or less detailed treatments of the aerosols themselves, ...


What are aerosols?




Volcanic Ash



Pollen



Sea Salt



Soot

Aerosols are solid particles or liquid droplets suspended in the atmosphere

Primary aerosol sources are dust storms, wildfires, sea spray, fossil fuel combustion. **Secondary** sources include oxidation of SO_2 from fossil fuel and volcanic sources and condensation of volatile organic gases.



Dust



Organic Haze




Smoke



Volcanic Ash

About **90%** of aerosol emissions by mass are *natural*, mostly large dust and sea salt particles that have short atmospheric residence times. The remaining **10%** of aerosol mass is *anthropogenic* and tends to be smaller particles, *but these are almost all of the particles by number!*

Direct Human Impacts of Aerosols



MODIS image of Eyjafjallajökull eruption, Iceland, May 2010



A firefighter from the Palo Alto and Santa Clarita County strike team works on the Soberanes Fire on Tuesday, July 26, 2016. From Palo Alto Fire Department.




Smog in Lianyungang, Jiangsu Province




Haboob in front of squall line, Dori, Burkina Faso, May 23, 2016


Air Quality and Human Health



- Between 2010 and 2050 world population will increase from 7 to 9-10 billion
- All of this population growth is occurring in urban settings
- 2010: 3.3 million air quality related deaths; 2050: grows to 6.6 million
- Aerosol events implicated in vector borne diseases






UN World Urbanization Prospects Highlights (2014)




Air quality implicated premature deaths (per 10^4 km²) in 2010 (Lelieveld et al., Nature, 2015)

Why do we care about aerosols?

- Air quality and human health
- Aviation hazards
- Visibility
- Field campaigns
- Measurement *a priori*
- Data assimilation
- Biogeochemical cycles
- Climate
- Impact on weather and NWP





Aerosol Radiation Interaction (ARI)



Aerosol Cloud Interaction (ACI)

The Aerosol Indirect Effect



Larger cloud droplets,
less reflective cloud.

Twomey Effect

Smaller cloud droplets,
more reflective cloud.

Less Aerosols

More Aerosols


Larger cloud droplets,
droplets rain out easier,
clouds dissipate quicker.

Albrecht Effect










Smaller cloud droplets,
droplets rain out less,
longer-lived clouds.

Radiation and Clouds


The Aerosol Semi-Direct Effect



Because the Aerosol System is Complex...



...Characterization From Space is Hard!



Are the aerosols:
Brightening or darkening?
Cooling or warming?
Above the cloud or below or inside?
Natural or anthropogenic?
How dark (absorption properties)?
Inside the clouds or not?






These questions cannot be answered today with the necessary accuracy or coverage.



Lorraine Remer, from the ACE study presentation

An NWP Example


Simulation of Canadian smoke plumes with radiatively interactive sectional



Westphal and Toon, *JGR*, 1991

Impact of Dust Radiative Forcing

Airborne Saharan dust particles absorb solar and infrared radiation, affecting weather and climate. Difference of simulations ARI - no-ARI.



Speed-up of westward blowing winds caused by dust heating [m s^{-1}]




Warming of air caused by absorption of sunlight in dust [K]

Outline of dust plume over western Africa

A North-South vertical slice through the summertime western Saharan atmosphere, where a dust plume is centered at about 20°N (thick, solid line).

Colarco et al. *JGR* 2014


Impact of Dust Radiative Forcing



Colarco et al. *JGR* 2014


- Strong SW absorption in our model leads to enhanced dust emissions, contrasts with Miller et al. (2004), Perez et al (2006)
- Stronger SW absorption also associated with higher altitude of Saharan dust transport
- LW effects more pronounced in our model (larger particles) and were important to controlling diurnal cycle

Climatological Aerosol Forcing



Baseline and relative difference in T_{2m} for European limited area ALADIN-HIRLAM weather model due to aerosol climatologies used

- Aerosol direct effects included by imposed (but simple) climatologies from external sources
- Clear reduction in meteorological surface parameter biases by including aerosol impacts
- Not obvious however that forecast skill is improved by choice of climatology (or that bias/rmse dramatically better for more sophisticated climatology)



Toll et al., *Atmos. Res.*, 2016



Increasing Complexity in Model

JJA



Zonal Mean Circulation

Zonal Mean Wind



Interactive
Aerosols



Climatological
Aerosols



Difference of
Climatological -
Interactive
Aerosols







Most of these differences
are related to natural
aerosols, which have
higher time variability

Randles et al. JGR 2013

Increasing Complexity in Model

Clearly climatology cannot represent specific events




Mulcahey et al. ACP 2014

UKMO MetUM Forecasts


Increasing Complexity in Model

Differences in clear-sky net TOA forcing from model with static climatological aerosols


Monthly varying climatology



Prognostic aerosol with ARI



Data assimilation initialized with ARI



Mulcahey et al. *ACP* 2014

Increasing Complexity in Model

Potential cloud droplet # concentration [cm^{-3}]





Table 2. The global mean day 5 all-sky net radiative forcings (W m^{-2}) from the different aerosol representations.

	TOA	ATM	SFC
CLIM	-0.30	-1.72	1.42
AER_DIR	-0.87	-2.91	2.04
AER_DIR_INDIR	4.18	-2.62	6.80
INIT_DIR	-1.48	-2.29	0.89
INIT_DIR_INDIR	2.26	-1.81	4.07

Mulcahey et al. *ACP* 2014




Increasing Complexity in Model

Aerosol impacts on low cloud amounts

Direct Effects

Indirect Effects



positive impact on model temperature and radiation biases

Mulcahey et al. *ACP* 2014


Interactive Aerosol Forcing



WGNE Exercise

Evaluating Aerosols Impacts on Numerical Weather Prediction

- WGNE (WMO) exercise:
 - How important are aerosols for predicting the physical system (NWP, seasonal, climate) as distinct from predicting the aerosols themselves?
 - How important is atmospheric model quality for air quality forecasting?
 - What are the current capabilities of NWP models to simulate aerosol impacts on weather prediction?
- Series of models run same aerosol conditions in series of forecast experiments; e.g., SAMBBA case of S. American Aerosols
- All models run with and without **aerosol radiative interaction**; JMA additionally includes **aerosol cloud interaction**



Saulo Freitas

Interactive Aerosol Forcing




WGNE Exercise

Evaluating Aerosols Impacts on Numerical Weather Prediction

- WGNE (WMO) exercise:
 - How important are aerosols for predicting the physical system (NWP, seasonal, climate) as distinct from predicting the aerosols themselves?
 - How important is atmospheric model quality for air quality forecasting?
 - What are the current capabilities of NWP models to simulate aerosol impacts on weather prediction?
- Series of models run same aerosol conditions in series of forecast experiments; e.g., SAMBBA case of S. American Aerosols
- All models run with and without **aerosol radiative interaction**; JMA additionally includes **aerosol cloud interaction**

AOD at 550 nm
Forecast for 18UTC11SEP Init.: 00UTC10SEP



Saulo Freitas

Interactive Aerosol Forcing






WGNE Exercise

Evaluating Aerosols Impacts on Numerical Weather Prediction

- WGNE (WMO) exercise:
 - How important are aerosols for predicting the physical system (NWP, seasonal, climate) as distinct from predicting the aerosols themselves?
 - How important is atmospheric model quality for air quality forecasting?
 - What are the current capabilities of NWP models to simulate aerosol impacts on weather prediction?
- Series of models run same aerosol conditions in series of forecast experiments; e.g., SAMBBA case of S. American Aerosols
- All models run with and without **aerosol radiative interaction**; JMA additionally includes **aerosol cloud interaction**

2-m Temperature Difference (AER-NOAER) Forecast for 15UTC11SEP Init.:00UTC10SEP







Saulo Freitas

Interactive Aerosol Forcing



WGNE Exercise
Evaluating Aerosols Impacts on Numerical Weather Prediction

RMSE/BIAS: 2-m Temperature (K)




Saulo Freitas

Dust Impacts on Tropical Cyclones


- Inclusion of interactive aerosols in GEOS-5 model in NWP configuration has no impact on anomaly height correlation (inset)
- Statistically significant changes in forecast vorticity at 5 - 6 day range

NOA vs IAA max 850hPa vort (RMean 5-20N 40-18W)



Reale et al. *GRL* 2014

Dust Impacts on Tropical Cyclones




Development of Hurricane Nadine, September 13 - 23, 2012

Nowotnick et al., in preparation, 2016

Aerosol Impacts on Analyses

- Almost 20 years ago it was recognized that there was a correlation between Saharan dust presence and analysis increments in the GEOS-1 reanalysis
- It was hypothesized at the time that the IAU could be at least partially explained by missing model physics; i.e., the radiative effects of aerosols



Alpert et al. *Nature* 1998



Aerosol Impacts on Analyses

- Almost 20 years ago it was recognized that there was a correlation between Saharan dust presence and analysis increments in the GEOS-1 reanalysis
- It was hypothesized at the time that the IAU could be at least partially explained by missing model physics; i.e., the radiative effects of aerosols
- Revisiting this with the modern MERRA-2 reanalysis (includes aerosol radiative effects)

IAU Temperature Increment [K day⁻¹] 650 - 850 hPa

AOD

IAU Temperature Increment [K day⁻¹] 650 - 850 hPa Jun



Monthly means (2000-2015)

Arlindo da Silva


Alpert et al. *Nature* 1998

Aerosol Impacts on Analyses

- Almost 20 years ago it was recognized that there was a correlation between Saharan dust presence and analysis increments in the GEOS-1 reanalysis
- It was hypothesized at the time that the IAU could be at least partially explained by missing model physics; i.e., the radiative effects of aerosols
- Revisiting this with the modern MERRA-2 reanalysis (includes aerosol radiative effects)
- IAU definitely different (smaller?) with aerosol effects included

IAU Temperature Increment
[K day⁻¹] 650 - 850 hPa

With aerosols Without aerosols




2008

Arlindo da Silva

IAU Temperature Increment [K day⁻¹]
650 - 850 hPa

Jun
Sep




Alpert et al. *Nature* 1998


Aerosol Impacts on Analyses

- Finally, what are the prospects and potentials of including aerosols in the meteorological assimilation operator itself?
- Example is two GEOS-5 model runs, both with radiatively interactive aerosols
- In one the aerosols are also included in the meteorological (GSI) operator; i.e., affect model radiance calculation en route to met DA

$\Delta T_{\text{analysis}}$ [K] 650 - 850 hPa, with-without aerosols in GSI, July 2008



Dust Mass Loading (approx 650 - 850 hPa, July 2008)





Arlindo da Silva

Aerosol Impacts on Analyses

- Finally, what are the prospects and potentials of including aerosols in the meteorological assimilation operator itself?
- Example is two GEOS-5 model runs, both with radiatively interactive aerosols
- In one the aerosols are also included in the meteorological (GSI) operator; i.e., affect model radiance calculation en route to met DA
- This then too has an impact on the analyzed SST

$\Delta T_{\text{SST,analysis}}$ [K], with-without aerosols in GSI, July 1, 2015




Arlindo da Silva, Jong Kim


Aerosol Module Complexity

Interactive models tend to come in three flavors that have to do with how size and mixing state is represented (or hybrids of these)

- **bulk models:** speciated mass, external mixing, particle size specified (i.e., no microphysics)
- **modal/moments models:** mass and number, internal mixing, particle size spread into (usually) series of lognormal modes
- **sectional models:** mass and/or number, internal mixing, particle size discretized into series of size bins




bulk (~10 tracers)



Aquila et al., *GMD*, 2011

modal (~40 tracers)



sectional (~100 tracers)


cost/complexity →

Major Volcanic Eruption


Bulk Model

Sectional Model

AVHRR



Mass and Optical Properties






- Simulation of the June 1991 Mt. Pinatubo volcanic eruption with GEOS-5 model with both bulk (GOCART) and sectional (CARMA) microphysics for sulfates
- Different optical properties evolve depending on aerosol scheme complexity

Major Volcanic Eruption


Bulk Model

Sectional Model

AVHRR




ΔSW_{TOA} Bulk - Sectional Model [$W m^{-2}$]



- Simulation of the June 1991 Mt. Pinatubo volcanic eruption with GEOS-5 model with both bulk (GOCART) and sectional (CARMA) microphysics for sulfates
- Different optical properties evolve depending on aerosol scheme complexity
- These are manifest in different aerosol radiative forcing

Impact of Aerosol Microphysics

SURFACE AIR TEMPERATURE



Susa Bauer, GISS/Columbia

Diversity of Microphysical Simulations

AeroCom suite of simulations

Model	Scheme type	Classes	Multi-dist	Tracers	Host model	Resolution	Reference
CAM5-MAM3	modal (2 m)	3	N	15	GCM (free)	1.9° × 2.5° × L30	Liu et al. (2012)
HadGEM3-UKCA	modal (2 m)	5	Y	20	GCM (nudg)	1.3° × 1.9° × L63	Mann et al. (2014)
TM5	modal (2 m)	7	Y	25	CTM	2.0° × 3.0° × L34	Aan de Brugh et al. (2011)
GLOMAP-mode	modal (2 m)	7	Y	26	CTM	2.8° × 2.8° × L31	Mann et al. (2012)
EMAC	modal (2 m)	7	Y	41	GCM (nudg)	2.8° × 2.8° × L19	Pringle et al. (2010)
ECHAM5-HAM2	modal (2 m)	7	Y	29(a)	GCM (nudg)	1.9° × 1.9° × L31	Zhang et al. (2012)
GISS-MATRIX	modal ^b (2 m)	16	Y	60	GCM (nudg)	2.0° × 2.5° × L40	Bauer et al. (2008)
CanAM4-PAM	pcwise-lgnrml (2 m)	7	N	20	GCM (free)	3.7° × 3.7° × L35	von Salzen (2006)
GEOS-Chem-APM	mode & sect. (1 m)	100	Y	100	CTM	2.0° × 2.5° × L47	Yu and Luo (2009)
ECHAM5-SALSA	sectional (2 m)	20	Y	65	GCM (nudg)	1.9° × 1.9° × L31	Bergman et al. (2012)
GISS-TOMAS	sectional (2 m)	12	N	72	GCM (free)	4.0° × 5.0° × L09	Lee and Adams (2010)
GLOMAP-bin	sectional (2 m)	40	Y	160	CTM	2.8° × 2.8° × L31	Spracklen et al. (2005a, 2011)

^a Although treatment of SOA in ECHAM5-HAM2 involves 20 SOA species, only four additional advected aerosol tracers are required in addition to the 25 for ECHAM5-HAM. Another four species are required for the condensable organic gases.



^b Note that GISS-MATRIX scheme follows the quadrature method of moments.

Mann et al. *ACP* 2014

Diversity of Microphysical Simulations



Diversity in simulation of fine particle concentrations

Mean Surface
Level $N_{30\text{nm}}$



Mean Surface
Level $N_{100\text{nm}}$

Diversity
(ratio max/min)
 $N_{30\text{nm}}$





Diversity
(ratio max/min)
 $N_{100\text{nm}}$

Mann et al. *ACP* 2014



Comparison of Sectional to Modal Scheme

Sulfate Surface Mass GLOMAP-Mode (26 tracers)



Ratio of Mode/Bin (160 tracers)

Sea Salt Surface Mass GLOMAP-Mode (26 tracers)




Ratio of Mode/Bin (160 tracers)

Mann et al. ACP 2012

Comparison of Sectional to Modal Scheme

led (but expensive) sectional scheme to “tune” size distributions



Mann et al. ACP 2012

Reduced Complexity of Modal Schemes

MAM7 (31 tracers) uses 7 modes to represent aerosols
MAM3 (15 tracers) uses 3 modes, lumping coarse modes
and omitting primary carbon mode at a savings of 40% from MAM7

	MAM3	MAM7
Direct	-0.02 ± 0.01	-0.00 ± 0.01
SW indirect	-2.05 ± 0.09	-1.99 ± 0.04
SW semidirect	0.06 ± 0.13	-0.01 ± 0.03
SW indirect + semidirect	-1.99	-2.00
LW indirect	0.52 ± 0.05	0.54 ± 0.05
LW semidirect	0.02 ± 0.12	-0.08 ± 0.07
LW indirect + semidirect	0.54	0.46
Total	-1.47 ± 0.11	-1.54 ± 0.06



Take Home Messages

- **There are clear NWP and climate impacts from including aerosol radiative and cloud interactions**
 - Changes in dynamics and cloud fields affect aerosol lifecycle, plume height, long-range transport, overall forcing of the climate system, etc.
 - Inclusion of aerosols in NWP systems has benefit to surface field biases (e.g., T_{2m} , U_{10m})
 - Including aerosol affects has impact on analysis increments and can have statistically significant impacts on, e.g., tropical cyclogenesis
 - Above points are made especially with respect to aerosol radiative interactions, but aerosol-cloud interaction is a bigger signal on the global system
- **Many of these impacts are realized even in models with relatively simple (bulk) aerosol schemes (~10 - 20 tracers)**
 - Simple schemes though imply simple representation of aerosol absorption and—importantly for aerosol-cloud interaction—particle size distribution
 - Even so, more complex schemes exhibit a lot of diversity between different models, with issues such as size selection both for emitted particles and for modes
 - Prospects for complex sectional schemes to tune modal (and even bulk) schemes toward better selection of size representation
- **I think this is a ripe topic for more research**
 - Systematic documentation of benefits of no vs. climatological vs. interactive (direct and then direct+indirect) aerosols
 - Document aerosol impact on analysis increments, inclusion in NWP data assimilation operator
 - Further refinement of baseline assumptions in model design (e.g., absorption, particle size distribution)
 - Did not get into model resolution and interplay of other physical processes with aerosols (e.g., moist physics, obviously important), chemistry

Back up slides

Motivation

- We care about aerosols for climate, weather, and human applications
- The aerosol system is complex: spatial and temporal distributions; sources and sinks; size, shape, composition, and mixing state; chemical and optical properties; ...
- Treatment of this range of complexity in models is itself complicated and expensive
- Furthermore, there are large uncertainties attached to all of this...



Representation in Models

TABLE 2. Treatment of aerosol properties in fourth-, fifth-, and sixth-generation climate models.

Property	Treatment		
	Fourth generation	Fifth generation	Sixth generation
Mass concentration and composition	Sulfate interactive (online) with climate model dust, sea salt, hydrophilic and hydrophobic OC and BC prescribed from offline aerosol model simulations	Interactive sulfate, dust, sea salt, hydrophilic and hydrophobic OC, BC, nitrate, ammonia	As for fifth generation
Size distribution	Prescribed for each aerosol type except dust; multiple sizes for dust and perhaps sea salt	Variable for each aerosol type (modal)	Variable (sectional, QMOM, or piecewise log-normal)
Mixing state	External	Internal and external mixtures	As for fifth generation
Refractive index	Prescribed	Volume average	Volume average treatment of inclusions
Optical properties	Prescribed, for each aerosol type; function of RH	Parameterized in terms of bulk refractive index and wet effective radius	As for fifth generation
Hygroscopicity	Prescribed	Volume average	Thermodynamic equilibrium
CCN spectrum	Empirical	Köhler theory for external mixtures of internally mixed inorganic and soluble organic salts	As for fifth generation, plus weakly soluble organics and surfactants


What do we mean by complexity?

- Aerosol system
 - spatial, temporal, compositional distributions
 - particle size, shape, and mixing state
- Aerosol module
 - offline, online climatological, online interactive
 - direct, indirect effects, other Earth system couplings
 - scheme sophistication: bulk, modal, sectional
- Model structure
 - spatial resolution
 - time scales
 - model physics (e.g., clouds, convection, radiation)
 - data assimilation


What complexity do we need?

- Ultimately this will come down to the problem you are trying to solve
- For example, for short-term forecasting of plumes for flight planning missions, volcanic events, etc., even non-interactive bulk aerosol models may be sufficient
- For climate or chemistry-climate studies, however, you may need the most complexity in order to realize all the important physical processes
 - *but do you have sufficient data constraints to justify?*


A Climate Perspective




A Climate Perspective




A Climate Perspective



A Climate Perspective




A Climate Perspective



Aerosol Impacts on Dynamics

Aerosol Heating Effects on Circulation (hydrologic cycle effects)



adapted from Lau et. al. [2009]


ICAP Models

Organization	BSC	Copernicus/ ECMWF	JMA	Meteo France	NASA	US Navy	NOAA	UKMO
Model	NMMB/ BSC-CTM	CAMS	MASINGAR	MOCAGE	GEOS-5	NAAPS	NGAC	MetUM
Status	QO	0-24 hrs	QO	0	QO	0	0	0
Meteorology	Offline NMMB	Inline IFS	inline AGCM	Offline ARPEGE	Inline GEOS-5	Offline NAVGEM	Inline GFS	Inline UM
Resolution	1.4x1	0.4x0.4	0.56x0.56	2x2	0.25x0.31	0.33x0.33	1x1	0.35x0.23
levels	24	60	40	47	72	60	64	70
DA	LETKF ^p	4DVar	EnKF ^p	2018	2DVar +LDE	2DVar 3DVar, EnKF ^p	NA	4DVar
Assimilated Obs	DAQ MODIS+DB	DAQ MODIS+DB	CALIOP, MODIS, Himawari-8	NA	Neural Net MODIS	DAQ MODIS, CALIOP	NA	MODIS Dust AOT
Species	Dust Sea Salt BC, OC (POA,SOA) Sulfate	BC Dust OC Sea Salt Sulfate	BC Dust OC Sea Salt Sulfate	BC Dust OC Sea Salt Sulfate	BC Dust OC Sea Salt Sulfate	Anthro+bio B. Burn Dust Sea Salt	Dust BC OC Sea Salt Sulfate	Dust
Size Bins	8 (dust, salt) Bulk (BC, OC, Su)	3	10	6	5	1	5	2
Bio. Burn. Emissions	NA	GFAS	GFAS	GFAS	QFED	FLAMBE	GBBEPx	NA

- The ICAP-MME is run daily w/ 1x1 deg res at 00Z for 6 hrly fcasts out to 120 hrs w/ a 1-day latency.
- Modal AOT (550nm) and dust AOT (550nm) data in NetCDF is available at http://usgodae.org/cgi-bin/datalist.pl?dset=nrl_icap_mme&summary=Go

Dust Radiative Effects

108-hr forecast of sounding at Capo Verde under strong Saharan dust outbreak




Reale et al. *Weath. Fore.* 2011

Peter Colarco, Aerosol Complexity, ECMWF Annual Seminar, September 2016

Model Resolution and Meteorology

Simulations driven with MERRA-2 Reanalyses



Free-running Atmosphere Simulations

Aerosol Data Assimilation

