

RIHMI contribution to ERA-CLIM2 WP3

By Alexander Sterin (RIHMI-WDC, Obninsk, Russia)

e-mail: sterin@meteo.ru

<http://www.meteo.ru>



Three kinds of in-situ observational data:

Upper-air data (41 stations).

Inputs from:

computer media (not all stations, poor vertical resolution - not all levels, few standard pressure levels only)

handwritten tables

computerized views of old punch-card codes formats (the handwritten tables previously in 1970s-1980s were manually keyed by punching machines to punchcards and in late 1980s the paper punchcards were copied to 9-track magnetic tapes in the mode “as-is”)

Surface meteorological data

Snow cover data


Upper-air data (41 stations)

Inputs from:


computer media (not all stations, poor vertical resolution - not all levels, few standard pressure levels only)

handwritten tables

computerized views of old punch-card codes formats (the handwritten tables previously in 1970s-1980s were manually keyed by punching machines to punchcards and in late 1980s the paper punchcards were copied to 9-track magnetic tapes in the mode “as-is”)



Upper-air data (41 stations)



Upper-air data

The final U/A dataset was compiled from three sources and put into single format as it was done for the U/A data in previous ERA CLIM Project. **The amount of soundings: 390 873. The amount of levels: 8 993 028**

23205	67	39	53	1	9	1940	11	19	1	5	2	9	400	1	612	0	-10.8	1	91	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1940	11	19	1	5	2	9	500	1	534	0	-17.4	1	91	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1940	11	21	1	5	2	9	400	1	581	0	-34.8	1	76	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1940	11	21	1	5	2	9	500	1	491	0	-42.0	1	.	9	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1940	11	23	1	11	3	9	400	1	591	0	-21.5	1	75	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1940	11	23	1	11	3	9	500	1	514	0	-24.7	1	72	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1940	11	23	1	11	3	9	600	1	452	0	-28.8	1	69	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	1	19	1	5	3	9	20	1	999	0	0.3	1	91	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	1	19	1	5	3	9	50	1	963	0	0.0	1	91	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	1	19	1	5	3	9	100	1	902	0	-3.5	1	91	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	9	20	1	994	0	-0.6	1	82	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	9	50	1	956	0	-1.3	1	79	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	9	100	1	895	0	-4.2	1	75	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	9	150	1	837	0	-8.7	1	73	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	9	200	1	785	0	-11.8	1	73	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	9	300	1	688	0	-15.7	1	82	1	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	9	400	1	601	0	-20.0	1	.	9	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	2	451	1	561	0	-21.2	1	.	9	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	9	500	1	525	0	-19.8	1	.	9	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	9	1	11	10	9	600	1	455	0	-26.2	1	.	9	.	9	.	9
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	10	1	10	7	1	1	0	1027	0	-4.4	0	91	1	0	1	0	1
23205	67	39	53	1	9	1943	11	10	1	10	7	9	20	0	1002	0	-5.8	0	91	1	.	9	.	9



SAS Program fragment for output:

put index 6. (latdeg latmin londeg lonmin hgtstat year month day) (5.) q_day 3. (time nlev code) (5.)

H 6. q_H 3. P 5. q_P 3. T 7. I q_T 3. U 4. q_U 3. winddir 5. q_winddir 3. windspeed 5. q_windspeed 3.;

Upper-air data:

station **UFA (28722)** – Missing in IGRA, partly present in IGRA2beta,
38392 TASHAUZ – present in IGRA



Upper-air data

The final U/A dataset was compiled from three sources and put into single format as it was done for the U/A data in previous ERA CLIM Project. **The amount of soundings: 390 873. The amount of levels: 8 993 028**

The QC for U/A data:




For the U/A data the QC was applied based on the following efforts:

Calculation of statistical tables (frequencies to control key element values)

Calculation of statistical tables (univariate statistics for each parameter to detect suspicious and erroneous values)

Statistical graph assessment (boxplots to detect and flag outliers)

Use of climatological statistics for the U/A parameters, for the same 41 stations, but calculated for a later period (we used climate monthly statistics for 1985-2014 that were calculated from the RIHMI AEROSTAB global radiosonde data set). Based on this climatology, the flagging was provided.




Upper-air data

Table of year by month												
year	month											
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12


Analysis Variable : H												
P	month	N Obs	Mean	Std Dev	Minimum	Maximum	N	10th Pctl	Median	90th Pctl		
300	5	413	907.94	17.78	869.00	947.00	413	886.00	906.00	933.00		
	6	405	920.09	13.74	884.00	954.00	405	902.00	921.00	936.00		
	7	400	932.85	14.26	892.00	954.00	400	902.00	921.00	936.00		
	8	375	929.77	13.72	883.00	954.00	375	902.00	921.00	936.00		
	9	277	913.79	18.03	866.00	954.00	277	902.00	921.00	936.00		
	10	355	903.45	17.41	856.00	954.00	355	902.00	921.00	936.00		
	11	382	900.27	17.88	851.00	954.00	382	902.00	921.00	936.00		
	12	399	881.06	19.31	829.00	954.00	399	902.00	921.00	936.00		
	500	1	423	527.66	15.32	488.00	588.00	423	502.00	521.00	536.00	
		2	389	527.79	16.22	489.00	588.00	389	502.00	521.00	536.00	


STATISTICS FOR H, T, U, Winddir and Windspeed OF STANDARD LEVELS



Upper-air data

Polar stratosphere
overcooled in 20CR





eters, for the same 41


lyses!!!

ce station climatologies
ata (in more details – in

Panel: monthly anomalies
for 100hPa Temperature for
group of 6 stations for
1951-1960

Grey shaded – monthly
climatology sigmas
ERA-20C – black
20CR v2 – green
RIHMI digitized - red
ERA-20c better corresponds
to climatology!

Surface meteorological data



Map of stations containing sub-daily meteorological observation for 1965 and back till the beginning of observations
(* For most of 246 stations, period begins in middle 1930s)*

The goal within ERA CLIM2 Project was to extend part of stations data for period from the beginning of observation and ending by 1965 (provided for 4 observations per day). The subset of 246 stations of the 518 was eventually selected for this extension and data were prepared

The following data operations were done for 246 stations to obtain the extension for 1965 and back:

- The assessment of existing sources of data on computer media in odd old formats and in hardcopies, to select subset of stations acceptable for period extension
- The reformatting of old odd formatted data, to fit the common format for 1966 and later data set.
- Filling gaps in data for period before 1966 by digitizing hardcopy materials, transforming digitized data to common format
- Operations with date and time variables, setting Greenwich date-time
- QC of data

Surface meteorological data

DATA QUALITY

The dataset contains the results of main hourly meteorological observations. The data accuracy is appropriate to the measurement accuracy of meteorological parameters indicated in the “Instructions for Meteorological Stations and Observation Rooms” (No.3, part I, 1985).


Most of the elements in the dataset have quality flags that can take on the following values:

- 0 – value is reliable;
- 1 – value is reliable and recovered manually;
- 2 - value is reliable and recovered automatically;
- 3 – value is dubious;
- 4 – value is rejected by syntactic and semantic check software programs;
- 5 – value is missing but observations were conducted;
- 6 – value is rejected at the station;
- 7 – value is missing since no observations were conducted;

If a value of the element is missing, quality flags take on the values from 4 to 7.

All the elements have been checked for permissible values.

Snow cover data



The goal within ERA CLIM2 Project was to extend the list of stations in the snow parameter data set, by preparing data that were not available on computer media before. This was done for 20 additional stations

Records in data files are arranged by increasing key elements:

- year;
- month;
- day.

29956	1936	3	14	14	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	15	13	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	16	14	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	17	16	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	18	15	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	19	22	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	20	20	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	21	19	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	22	19	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	23	17	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	24	17	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	25	16	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	26	15	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	27	15	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	28	15	1	0	0	0
29956	1936	3	29	14	1	0	0	0

Snow cover data

№	Index WMO	Name	Latitude	Longitude	Beginning	End
1	24639	NJURBA	63°17'	118°20'	1936	2014
2	24768	CURAPCA	62 ° 02'	132 ° 36'	1936	2014
3	26393	VYSNIJ VOLOCEK	57 ° 32'	34 ° 33'	1893	2014
4	27935	MICURINSK	52 ° 53'	40 ° 29'	1927	2014
5	28240	NIZHNYJ TAGIL	57 ° 53'	60 ° 04'	1936	2014
6	28645	CHELJABINSK	55 ° 09'	61 ° 18'	1900	2014
7	28711	BUGUL'MA	54 ° 38'	52 ° 48'	1932	2014
8	28925	MELEUZ	52 ° 57'	55 ° 58'	1936	2014
9	29736	MASLIANINO	54 ° 20'	84 ° 13'	1937	2014
10	29869	ERMAKOVSKOE	53 ° 18'	92 ° 25'	1936	2014
11	29956	TASTYP	52 ° 48'	89 ° 53'	1936	2014
12	30499	TYNDA	55 ° 11'	124 ° 40'	1936	2014
13	30683	EROFEJ PAVLOVIC	53 ° 58'	121 ° 56'	1936	2014
14	31318	NORA	53 ° 21'	130 ° 00'	1936	2014
15	31702	OBLUC'E	49 ° 00'	131 ° 05'	1936	2014
16	31832	BIKIN	46 ° 48'	123 ° 416'	1922	2014
17	34056	RTISCEVO	52 ° 15'	43 ° 47'	1936	2014
18	34964	ARZGIR	45 ° 24'	44 ° 12'	1936	2014
19	36061	TUROCAK	52 ° 15'	87 ° 08'	1936	2014
20	37212	NAL'CIK	43 ° 32'	43 ° 38'	1936	2014

Snow cover data

Field number	Position	Field length	Field name	Notes
1	1-5	5	WMO index of station	Fixed for the file
	6	1	Blank	
2	7-10	4	Year	
	11		Blank	
3	12-13	2	Month	
	14	1	Blank	
4	15-16	2	Day	
	17	1	Blank	
5	18-21	4	H – snow height	In cm
	22	1	Blank	
6	23-24	2	S-extent of snow cover around the station	In numbers on ten-number scale, see Table 3.2
	25	1	Blank	
7	26	1	Q1 - additional information on snow depth	See Table 3.3
	27		Blank	
8	28		Q2 – quality flag from snow depth	See Table 3.4
	29		Blank	
9	30		Q3 – additional information with regard for air temperature	See Table 3.5
	31	1	Line end character	

Snow cover data

Observation period	Extent of snow cover around the station	Value Q
Before July 1959	50% and less than 50% of the area around the station	0
	More than 50% of the area around the station	1
From August 1959 up to the present day	Extent of snow cover around the station is estimated from eleven-number scale. For example, the in case of lack of snow is 0; 20% of the area around the station covered with snow is 2; 50% of the area around the station covered with snow is 5, etc.	From 0 to 10

Situation	Q1 value
Value of snow depth is correct	0
Lack of snow	1
Snow cover absent at site, however snow is recorded in the vicinity of the station	2
Snow cover is less than 0.5 cm	3
Observations were not made or snow depth value is rejected	9

Snow cover data

Situation	Q2 value
Value is doubtful on conditions that $Abs(D) > 30$ & $abs(\Delta H1) > 10$ & $abs(\Delta H2) > 10$ Notes: $D = \Delta H_2 - \Delta H_1$, ΔH is the difference between the neighboring snow depth values	1
For the rest of the cases	0

* Successive snow depth values are compared. **Snow depth is a cumulative inertial characteristic.** This QC is oriented to detection of “jumps” in the snow depth data. It is considered that if we have three successive values, and there is a “jump” from first to second value and opposite “jump” from second to third value, so that third value becomes close to first value, - then second value is doubtful. Value I is set to Q2, provided that the difference between successive snow depth values is 30 cm and over, with snow depth from consecutive observations differing by 10 cm and over.

Situation	Q3 value
$H^{\wedge} = 0$ & $T_{mean} > 5$ & $T_{min} > 0$	1
For the rest of the cases	0

** Snow depth values are analyzed at different mean and minimum daily air temperatures. Value I is set to Q3, provided that with positive minimum and mean daily air temperatures higher than 5°C, snow depth is more than 0

The ERA-PreSAT experimental re-analysis: The value of using upper-air data in historical reanalyses

Paper from group of authors was sent to QJRMS in 2016

The contribution of U/A data was assessed

What about the contribution of surface meteorological data? Of snow cover data?

Future ERA reanalysis projects (maybe ERA CLIM3):

What are the mechanisms of participation for non-EU countries (for Russia in particular)?

Two options:

If Ministry of Science (MOS) of Russia supports “mirror” project for institutions participating in H2020 consortium

Not all H2020 have “mirror” projects from MOS

“Mirror” project may be for only one organization

If EU participant of H2020 consortium involves non-EU participant as sub-contractor

What about C3S????



Thank you for attention!